

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FILED

May 31, 2018

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -33)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 626 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office. Attest: Sandy Opacich, Clerk
U.S. District Court Northern District of Ohio
By: Robert Pitts
Deputy Clerk



**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-33 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST **DIV.** **C.A.NO.** **CASE CAPTION**

CALIFORNIA EASTERN

CAE	1	18-00634	County of Fresno v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation, et al.
CAE	1	18-00637	County of Calaveras, et al v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
CAE	1	18-00639	County of Inyo, et al v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
CAE	1	18-00647	County of Madera, et al v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
CAE	2	18-01149	County of Mono v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
CAE	2	18-01151	County of Butte v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
CAE	2	18-01152	County of Nevada v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
CAE	2	18-01157	County of El Dorado v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
CAE	2	18-01167	County of Siskiyou v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al

CALIFORNIA NORTHERN

CAN	1	18-02712	County of Mendocino v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
CAN	1	18-02732	County of Del Norte v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
CAN	3	18-02705	County of Contra Costa v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
CAN	3	18-02730	County of Marin v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
CAN	5	18-02733	County of San Benito v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al

CALIFORNIA SOUTHERN

CAS	3	18-00892	County of Imperial et al v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
-----	---	----------	--

GEORGIA SOUTHERN

GAS 5 18-00041 City of Alma, Georgia v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al

LOUISIANA EASTERN

LAE 2 18-04828 Gusman v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al

MARYLAND

MD	1	18-01367	City of Hagerstown, Maryland v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MD	1	18-01368	City of Cumberland, Maryland v AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation, et al.
MD	1	18-01382	Allegany County, Maryland v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MD	1	18-01383	City of Frostburg v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al